Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/669,847	OKANO, YOICHI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alexander Jamal	2643
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Alexander Jamal</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jim Howard (39715)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>8 December 2005</u>	Time: <u>12pm</u>	
Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
· ·		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: all independent claims		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT V	VAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepdirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepdid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief such as the provide a septid is not result in resolution of all issues. 	on. The examiner will provide a varate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
		•
When what		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Howard sent and email to examiner indicating that the applicant had approved a set of claim amendments. Mr Howard sent an email version of the proposed claim amendments to the examiner. Examiner left a message with Mr. Howard indicating that the fees for a one month extension of time were due in order to enter the examiner's amendment. Mr. Howard approved the payemnt of fees for a one month extension on 12-20-2005 via a phone message..